

Celebrating the International Year of Fruits and Vegetables

Editor

Caterina Rufo Universidad de la República Facultad de Química, Instituto Polo Tecnológico de Pando Canelones, Uruguay

Gustavo Giménez[®] Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agropecuaria (INIA), Genética vegetal y fitomejoramiento Canelones, Uruguay

Correspondence

Silvana Vero svero@fq.edu.uy

Received 9 Jun 2021 Accepted 10 Sep 2021 Published 05 Jan 2022

Citation

Arrarte E, Garmendia G, Wisniewski M, Vero S. Biocontrol activity of *Debaryomyces hansenii* against blue mold on apple and pear during cold storage. Agrociencia Uruguay [Internet]. 2021 [cited dd mmm yyyy];25(NE2):e839. Available from: http://agrocienciauruguay. uy/ojs/index.php/agrociencia/art icle/view/839 Biocontrol activity of *Debaryomyces hansenii* against blue mold on apple and pear during cold storage

Actividad biocontroladora de Debaryomyces hansenii contra la podredumbre azul en manzanas y peras almacenadas en frío

Atividade biocontroladora de Debaryomyces hansenii contra o mofo azul em maçã e pêra armazenadas em frio

Arrarte, E. 1; Garmendia, G. 2; Wisniewski, M. 3; Vero, S. 2

¹Universidad de la República, Facultad de Química, DETEMA, Área Fisicoquímica, Montevideo, Uruguay

²Universidad de la República, Facultad de Química, DEPBIO, Área Microbiología, Montevideo, Uruguay

³U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS), Kearneysville, WV, United States



Abstract

To provide fruit throughout the whole year, maintain quality and reduce spoilage, apples and pears are stored at low temperature. However, the development of rots, caused mainly by *Penicillium expansum*, cannot be avoided. To prevent fruit losses, biological control has been proposed as a potential alternative. In this work, 16 psychrotrophic, non-pectinolytic *Debaryomyces hansenii* strains were evaluated in a bioassay for their potential biocontrol against *P. expansum* rots in apples and pears. Isolates with different degrees of biocontrol effective-ness were further investigated *in vitro* to elucidate mechanisms of antagonism that may have contributed to biocontrol. No correlation between any of the studied mechanisms and biocontrol activity could be established. One of the isolates, designated F9D, was selected due to its ability to reduce rot incidence in more than 95% in apples and 85% in pears. This strain could be a good candidate for the development of a yeast-based formulation to protect both types of fruit. An ISSR-PCR method was developed for typing the selected strain. This molecular marker could be a useful tool to follow the fate of the strain applied on fruit.

Keywords: apple, pear, biocontrol, postharvest decay, yeasts, Penicillium expansum

Resumen

Las manzanas y las peras son normalmente almacenadas en cámaras refrigeradas para preservar su calidad y así mantener una oferta constante durante todo el año. Sin embargo, la pérdida de fruta causada por agentes fúngicos no puede ser completamente evitada. Una alternativa para reducir estas enfermedades es el control biológico. En este trabajo, 16 levaduras sicrotróficas antárticas pertenecientes a la especie *Debaryomyces hansenii* fueron evaluadas en ensayos de biocontrol contra *P. expansum* en manzanas y peras. Los aislamientos presentaron diferentes grados de biocontrol y fueron posteriormente evaluados *in vitro* con el fin de elucidar los mecanismos de acción que podrían estar contribuyendo al biocontrol. No se encontraron correlaciones entre los mecanismos estudiados y la capacidad de biocontrol de las diferentes cepas. Una de las cepas, codificada como F9D, fue seleccionada debido a su habilidad para reducir la incidencia de la enfermedad en más de 95% en manzanas y 85% en peras. Esta cepa podría ser una buena candidata para el desarrollo de una formulación que protegiera ambos tipos de frutas. Un método de ISSR-PCR fue desarrollado para tipificar a la cepa seleccionada, lo que podría ser una herramienta útil para realizar un seguimiento de la cepa una vez aplicada a la fruta.

Palabras clave: manzana, pera, biocontrol, enfermedades poscosecha, levaduras, Penicillium expansum

Resumo

As maçãs e peras são normalmente armazenadas em câmaras frigoríficas para preservar a qualidade e assim manter um abastecimento constante ao longo do ano. No entanto, o desenvolvimento de podridões, causadas principalmente por *Penicillium expansum* não pode ser evitado. O controle biológico tem sido considerado como una alternativa potencial para reduzir a perda de frutas. Neste trabalho, 16 leveduras psicrotróficas antárticas pertencentes a espécie *D. hansenii* foram avaliadas como agentes de biocontrole contra *P. expansum* em macas e peras. Os isolados com diferentes graus de biocontrole foram posteriormente avaliados *in vitro* a fim de elucidar os mecanismos de ação que poderiam estar contribuindo ao biocontrole. Não foram encontradas correlações entre os mecanismos estudados e a capacidade de biocontrole das diferentes cepas. Uma das cepas codificadas como F9D foi selecionada devido a sua capacidade de reduzir a incidência da doença em mais de 95 % em maçãs e 85 % em peras. Esta cepa poderia ser uma boa candidata para o desenvolvimento de uma formulação que proteja os dois tipos de fruta. Um método de ISSR-PCR foi desenvolvido para tipificar a cepa



selecionada. O marcador molecular pode ser uma ferramenta útil para fazer um seguimento da cepa uma vez aplicada a fruta.

Palavras-chave: maçã, pera, biocontrole, doenças pós-colheita, leveduras, Penicillium expansum

1. Introduction

In Uruguay, pears and apples are harvested from January or February to April, respectively. In order to provide fruit throughout the year, and maintain quality and health, apples and pears are stored in cold chambers at 0-1 °C. Even in these conditions, fungal rots are developed. The main pathogen associated to fungal rot in apples and pears stored at cold temperature is *Penicillium expansum*, which is the main causal agent of blue mold⁽¹⁾. However, other fungi such as some species of *Alternaria* (*A. alternata, A. tenuissima* and *A. arborescens*) or *Botrytis cinerea* are also involved in fungal postharvest diseases in both types of fruit⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾.

In general, the prevention of postharvest diseases is achieved using fungicides, which are applied to the fruit before they are stored. However, the reduction of maximum residue limits in food and the concern about the environmental fate of pesticides has encouraged the search for alternatives to control fungal diseases. In this regard, biological control appears as a feasible alternative. In particular, yeasts have shown a great potential as biocontrol agents of postharvest diseases⁽⁵⁾. A great number of yeasts strains, belonging to several species, have been tested as biological control agents, achieving in some cases a commercial formulation⁽⁶⁾. Despite the development of some commercial products, the search for novel biological control agents of postharvest diseases keeps going.

Particularly, to prevent the common fungal postharvest diseases in apples and pears during cold storage, some characteristics should be considered in the primary selection of a biocontrol agent.

Since antagonists are intended to protect fruit wounds from fungal attack during the storage at low temperatures, the selection should be oriented to cold adapted microorganisms with the ability to colonize fruit wounds, which are the main infection court of necrotrophic, decay pathogens. Moreover, the inability to produce pectinolytic enzymes that could degrade fruit tissue should be also considered in the selection⁽⁷⁾. Various mechanisms, including nutrient and space competition, the production of soluble or volatile inhibitory metabolites or enzymes to degrade the pathogen cell walls (involved in hyperparasitism), and the induction of host resistance have been postulated to play a meaningful role in the biocontrol of postharvest pathogens by microbial antagonists⁽⁸⁾⁽⁹⁾⁽¹⁰⁾⁽¹¹⁾⁽¹²⁾⁽¹³⁾⁽¹⁴⁾⁽¹⁵⁾⁽¹⁶⁾. Screening of new antagonists has been extensively based on in vitro tests leading to detect the production of antimicrobial compounds, metabolites or enzymes related to the mechanisms of action mentioned above. These tests are fast and easily performed and have led to a bias in the selection of biocontrol agents⁽¹⁷⁾⁽¹⁸⁾.

In previous studies, our group isolated, identified and characterized cold tolerant yeasts from soil and water from King George Island, Antarctica⁽¹⁹⁾. A collection of 61 psychrotrophic yeast strains, characterized by their ability to produce various extracellular enzymes (including amylases and pectinases), was obtained. Debaryomyces hansenii was the most abundant species. Among other common characteristics, all the strains of this species share the particularity of being unable to produce pectinases or amylases. D. hansenii is characterized at species level by its tolerance to extreme conditions, such as low pH, high osmotic pressure, oxidative stress and low temperature⁽²⁰⁾. These characteristics make D. hansenii a good candidate to survive and proliferate in fruit wounds under packinghouse conditions where a variety of abiotic stresses, such as oxidative stress. lack of nutrients. low temperature and adverse pH, could limit microbial growth⁽²¹⁾.

Many strains of *D. hansenii* have been selected as biological control agents of fungal diseases on various fruits during postharvest storage. Successful



control of *P. digitatum* in grapefruit⁽²²⁾, *Geotrichum* citri-aurantii in Mexican lime⁽²³⁾, P. italicum⁽²⁴⁾ and P. digitatum in lemon fruit⁽²⁵⁾, oranges and mandarins⁽²⁶⁾, Colletotrichum gloeosporioides in papaya⁽²⁷⁾, and *Monilinia fruticola* in apples⁽²⁰⁾ has been achieved by the use of D. hansenii strains as biocontrol agents. In most cases, protection has been assessed at room temperature; however, in the case of apples and pears, a good biocontrol activity at 0-1 °C is needed to prevent rots after a long period of cold storage. In this work, 16 D. hansenii isolates from Antarctica were evaluated as biocontrol agents of P. expansum in apples and pears during cold storage. Only one strain was selected due to its ability to reduce blue mold incidence in both apple and pears. Several mechanisms of action, such as the production of chitinases, siderophores and soluble and volatile antifungal compounds, the ability to form biofilms and the capacity to inhibit the germination of *P. expansum* spores, were evaluated in order to elucidate if any of these characteristics could be related to biocontrol activity on fruit. To allow the differentiation of the selected strain from others from the same species a specific molecular profile was obtained by ISSR-PCR.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Biocontrol agents and pathogen

The 16 *Debaryomyces hansenii* strains used in this study belong to a collection of yeasts isolated from soil and water samples from King George Island, South Shetland Islands, Antarctica⁽¹⁹⁾. Cultures are maintained in Eppendorf tubes containing Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) stored at 4 °C. These strains are non-pectinase-producing strains. A native strain of *Penicillium expansum* (P17) previously isolated from rotten apples and identified at specie level⁽²⁸⁾ was used in the current study.

2.2 Fruits

Red Delicious apples and Williams pears used in this study were obtained from a local orchard (Granja Moizo, Montevideo, Uruguay) within a month after harvest. Fruits were selected by uniformity, size, color, shape and visual absence of damage or fungal growth.

2.3 Fruit juice growth ability at 0 °C

The ability of *D. hansenii* strains to grow in apple juice and pear juice at low temperature 0-1 °C was evaluated. A 250 mL flask containing 50 mL of steam sterilized apple or pear juice was inoculated with a suspension of each yeast to reach a final concentration of 1×10^4 cell mL⁻¹ and incubated at 0-1 °C for 30 days. Microbial growth was evaluated by cell count in a Neubauer chamber. Each assay was repeated three times.

2.4 Biocontrol assay

The yeasts were evaluated for their biocontrol ability against Penicillium expansum in wounded Red Delicious and Williams pears stored at 0-1 °C. Fruits were first surface-disinfected with 70% ethanol. After drying, five wounds (4 mm deep \times 2 mm wide) were made along the equator of each fruit. Each wound was then inoculated with 10 µL of a yeast saline suspension (1×107 cell mL-1) made from a two-day-old PDA culture. Control wounds were inoculated with 10 µL of sterile saline. Wounds were allowed to dry at room temperature and then subsequently inoculated with 10 μ L of a 1×10⁴ conidia mL⁻¹ conidial suspension of *P. expansum* in saline solution with Tween[®]80 (0,1 %). Six fruits (5 wounds per fruit) were used per treatment (n = 30 wounds). Fruits were stored in a cold chamber at 0-1 °C until control wounds showed rot development. Disease incidence (measured as the percentage of infected wounds) and disease severity (measured as the percentage of treated wound diameter compared with control wound diameter) were evaluated.

2.5 Yeast characterization

2.5.1 Yeast growth in fruit wounds

Fruits were surface disinfected with ethanol 70% and let dry in a laminar flow hood. Three wounds $(2mm \times 2mm \times 4mm)$ per fruit were made, and each wound was inoculated with 10 µL of yeast suspension (1×10⁷ cell mL⁻¹). After let dry at room temperature, fruits were incubated in a cold chamber at 0-1 °C during 60 days in the case of apples and 45 days for pears. After incubation time, wounds were removed using a sterile scalpel and placed in eppendorf tubes containing 1 mL of Tween 80 solution (0,1% w/w). Tubes were mixed in a vortex for 5



minutes and 10-fold serial dilutions were made. Serial dilutions were poured in PDA plates (Potato Dextrose Agar). Plates were incubated at 25 °C during 48 h and colonies were counted. Each assay was repeated three times.

2.5.2. Chitinase production

Chitinase production was evaluated in YNB (Yeast Nitrogen Broth) containing 0.5% colloidal chitin and 2% agar. Colloidal chitin was prepared using ground chitin (Sigma-Aldrich)⁽²⁹⁾. Petri dishes were inoculated with a strip of each yeast and incubated at 25 °C for 48 h. Chitinases production was visualized as a halo surrounding the yeast strip and recorded as positive or negative producer.

2.5.3. Siderophore production

Siderophore production was evaluated in CAS (Chrome Azurol Sulfonate) agar plates⁽³⁰⁾. Each isolate was streaked in a section of the plate and incubated at 25 °C for 48 h. After incubation, siderophores production was evidenced by a color change from blue to orange surrounding the streaks of growing cultures and recorded as producer or not producer.

2.5.4. Production of soluble and volatile antifungal compounds

The ability of each strain to inhibit *P. expansum* growth was tested in dual cultures. The soluble antifungal compounds production was evaluated in Petri dishes (9 cm diameter) filled with apple juice agar (AJA, apple juice + 2% agar)⁽³¹⁾. Yeast was streaked at 2 cm of the rim of the plate and a nonsporulated agar plug of *P. expansum* (8 mm diam.) from a 2-days-old PDA culture was inoculated on the same plate at a distance of 5 cm from the yeast line. The pathogen colony diameter was measured after incubation. Three replicates for each treatment were used.

The production of volatile antifungal compounds was tested as described in a previous work⁽⁷⁾. Plates containing AJA were inoculated centrally with a non-sporulated agar plug of *P. expansum*. At the same time, another plate containing AJA was superficially inoculated with a yeast suspension, which was evenly spread on the surface with a sterilized glass spreader. The lids of plates were removed, and the

double dish set was sealed using Parafilm. Control plates were inoculated with pathogens but covered with AJA plates not inoculated with yeast. The closed plates were incubated at 25 °C for 3 days. The pathogen colony diameter was measured after incubation. Three replicates for each treatment were used.

2.5.5. Biofilm formation

The assay was carried out in a 96-well microtiter plates (Montegrotto Terme, Padova, Italy); each plate was filled with 180 µL of sterile apple juice and 20 μ L (1×10⁷ cell mL⁻¹) of a yeast suspension, prepared in sterile water from a 2-day-old PDA culture. The plate was incubated for 3 days at 25 °C. The control consisted of wells containing only sterile apple juice. After incubation, the wells were emptied, rinsed with water, and air-dried at room temperature. The adherent biofilm layer was stained with an aqueous solution of violet crystal 1% (w/v) for 20 min, rinsed with water, and air-dried⁽³²⁾. The bound dve was eluted from each well with 200 µL of an ethanol:acetone solution 80:20(33). The absorbance of each well was measured at 590 nm. Each treatment was repeated three times in the same plate.

2.5.6. Inhibition of spore germination by D. hansenii strains

Penicillium expansum spore germination curve at low temperature was performed in a 96-well microtiter plate. Each well was filled with 100 µL of sterile apple juice and 10 μ L of spore suspension (1×10⁶ spores mL⁻¹, prepared from a 1-day-old PDA culture). The plates were incubated at 0-1 °C and spore germination was evaluated daily by observing microscopically 100 spores. A spore was considered germinated when the germ tube was greater than the spore diameter. Each experiment consisted of three replicates. The effect of D. hansenii yeasts on spore germination of P. expansum was carried out in a 96-well microtiter plates. Each plate was filled with 100 μ L of sterile apple juice, 10 μ L of a yeast suspension $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ cell mL}^{-1})$, prepared in sterile water from a 2-day-old PDA) and 10 µL of a spore suspension (1×10⁶ spores mL⁻¹, prepared from a 1-day-old PDA culture). The plate was incubated for 10 days at 0-1 °C and the spore



germination was compared with the control (wells without inoculation of yeasts) as detailed before.

2.6 ISSR-PCR

DNA extraction method was performed as described by Schena and others⁽³⁴⁾. Yeast ISSR-PCR profiles were obtained by PCR amplifications performed in a 25 µL reaction volume containing 1 µL of DNA, 1 µL of primer (25 µM), 2.5 µL of dNTP (Bioron, 2.5 mM), 2.5 µL of Tag Buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA), 0.1 µL of DreamTag DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA) and 17.9 µL of miliQ water. The tested primers are shown in Table 1. All amplifications were carried out using a MultiGeneTM thermocycler (Labnet International Inc., Edison, NJ, USA). The cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 1 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, primer annealing (at 45 °C for primer (GACAG)₃ and 50 °C for primers (AGG)₅ and (CAG)₅) for 2 min, and extension at 72 °C for 2 min, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. Electrophoresis was performed with 5 µL of amplified products on a 1.5% agarose gel at 80 V.

Table 1. Primers used in ISSR-PCR amplification

Primer sequences (5´-3´)	T _m (°C)		
(AGG)₅	51.6		
(CAG)5	51.6		
(GACAG)3	48.9		

T_m = melting temperature

2.7 Statistical Analyses

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of data was performed using InfoStat software package⁽³⁵⁾, and Tukey test was used to determine differences between means at 0.05 significance level. Statistical analysis of disease incidence was performed using a generalized linear model, assuming a binomial distribution, with a logit transformation (maximum likelihood). Treatments were compared by the DGC test⁽³⁶⁾ at a significance level of 0.05 using the InfoStat software package⁽³⁵⁾.

The correlation between inhibition of spore germination and blue mold incidence reduction on fruit was determined by means of Spearman correlation coefficient, using Infostat software package⁽³⁵⁾.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Fruit juice growth ability at low temperatures

The 16 yeasts were able to grow in apple and pear juice at 0-1 °C. The ability to grow at low temperatures was expected and it was the reason why we decided to isolate yeasts from a cold environment like Antarctica. Growth rate and cell concentration in the stationary phase are shown in Table S1 (Supplementary material). The lag phase was between 3 and 5 days in apple juice, and between 4 and 6 days in pear juice. The stationary phase was achieved between 15 and 23 days after inoculation.

For most biocontrol agents, except for those that act by inducing resistance, the ability to grow in the site where the interaction with the pathogen is going to take place is a particularly important trait⁽³⁷⁾. In the case of yeasts intended to protect apple and pear wounds from fungal attack during postharvest storage in cold chambers, the ability to grow in fruit juice at low temperature 0-1 °C is an experimental approach to determine if they are adapted to grow in such stressful conditions (low pH, high sugar concentration and low temperature). In this case all the strains could grow at the practically same rate, so they were all considered for further analysis.

3.2 Biocontrol assays

Biocontrol assays on fruit revealed phenotypic differences among *D. hansenii* strains. This result is in accordance with previous works⁽⁷⁾⁽³⁸⁾ where it was demonstrated that biocontrol activity is strain specific and not an ability necessarily shared by strains from the same species. In Red Delicious apples, *D. hansenii* strains were able to significantly reduce (p = 0.05) the disease incidence and severity relative to the control (wounds inoculated only with the pathogen) after 60 days of incubation (Fig. 1). However, only 10 strains reduced blue mold incidence in more than 75%. In case of pears cv Williams, *P. expansum* rot was significantly reduced (p = 0.05) by 13 strains, and only one strain (F9D) could achieve control levels over 75% (Fig. 2A). Despite reduction



of disease incidence achieved by yeasts in pears was low, in most cases severity in treated fruit was significantly lower than the observed in the control (Fig. 2B).

Figure 1. Average percentage disease incidence (A) and severity (B) in Red Delicious apples inoculated with a yeast suspension, followed by inoculation of a *Penicillium expansum* conidial suspension. The control was not treated with a yeast. Treatments with the same letter are not significantly different (p=0.05), n =30 wounds



Biocontrol activity of most yeast isolates was dependent on the host fruit. A good biocontrol activity against *P. expansum* in apple was not related to the same performance on pears. These differences could be attributed, among others, to different availability of nutrients and pH in fruit, different *P. expansum* susceptibility or physiological changes during fruit storage. Our results are in accordance with previous works in which it was demonstrated that the host had a high influence on the biocontrol activity of microbial antagonists⁽³⁹⁾⁽⁴⁰⁾.

In the present work, strain F9D was selected since it had a good performance controlling blue mold rot on both apples and pears. The ability to prevent fungal rot in various commodities is a desirable trait of a biocontrol agent. It would allow a single product based on its activity to have a wider range of applications and to increase its market potential⁽³²⁾.

Figure 2. Average percentage disease incidence (A) and severity (B) in Williams pears inoculated yeast suspension, followed by inoculation of a *Penicillium expansum* conidial suspension. The control was not treated with a yeast. Treatments with the same letter are not significantly different (p=0.05), n=30 wounds



3.3 Yeast characterization

To understand differences among *D. hansenii* strains with different biocontrol activity, characteristics usually associated with biocontrol potential were determined. None of the assayed yeasts produced soluble antifungal compounds active against *P. expansum* in apple juice agar. In all cases, in dual cultures, *P. expansum* growth covered all Petri dish in 3 days, as in the control plate.

Production of volatile antifungal compounds was neither detected. Neither of the strains produced siderophores nor chitinases in the assayed conditions, while five strains (35E, F7D, F18B, F32A, F32C) exhibited biofilm production when grown in apple juice. Many mechanisms of action, including the production of antifungal organic compounds



(volatile or soluble)⁽²⁷⁾⁽⁴¹⁾, biofilms, siderophores and chitinases⁽⁴²⁾, have been reported to explain the biocontrol ability of different *Debaryomyces hansenii* strains. However, *Debaryomyces hansenii* has been categorized by Breuer and Harms⁽⁴³⁾ as a highly heterogeneous species due to the phenotypic differences between strains, such as variations in optimal growth conditions, versatile assimilation patterns of carbon sources and enzymes production.

Moreover, it has been demonstrated that in the case of D. hansenii, production of chitinases, siderophores and biofilm formation is a strain specific trait⁽⁴²⁾. D. hansenii has been frequently reported to produce killer toxins, proteins with antimicrobial activity which can be detected in dual culture assays. D. hansenii killer toxins have shown antimicrobial efficiency towards several yeast species, in particular *C. albicans*⁽⁴⁴⁾, some Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria and some pathogenic fungi such as A. alternata, M. fructicola and M. fructigena⁽⁴⁵⁾⁽⁴⁶⁾. Although killer toxins in Debaryomyces hansenii are probably encoded by chromosomal genes⁽⁴⁷⁾, it has been demonstrated that their production is strain specific⁽⁴⁸⁾. Moreover, killer activity is highly dependent on the yeast growth conditions (pH, NaCl concentration and temperature) so it could have not been expressed in apple juice and probably would not be expressed in apple wounds at 0-1 °C.

The production of volatile antifungal compounds by strains of *D. hansenii* has also been reported else-where⁽²⁷⁾⁽⁴⁶⁾. Yeasts can produce many volatile metabolites, as result of their primary and secondary metabolism. In general, VOCs obtained from primary metabolism are produced as a means of detoxification, while those produced by secondary metabolism pathways are involved in defense or communication mechanisms⁽⁴⁹⁾. In both cases, VOCs production depends on the strain⁽⁷⁾ and on the growth conditions.

Our results demonstrated that biocontrol activity of *D. hansenii* strains is not based on the production of antifungal metabolites. This could be considered a good result in the selection of a biocontrol agent. If such metabolites are involved, potential toxicological risks should be assessed. Moreover, antagonism based on antimicrobial activity could be not

durable due to the appearance of less sensitive pathogen strains⁽¹⁷⁾.

Pathogen conidia germination is a preliminary step of host colonization. This event largely depends on temperature, humidity of the environment and presence of compounds released by the host⁽⁵⁰⁾. The inhibition of germination of *P. expansum* spores was evaluated for each strain in fruit juice at low temperature 0-1 °C. A certain degree of inhibition was detected in all cases (4 to 54%) (Fig. 3). However, a significant correlation between the biocontrol activity of the strains in apple and pear and their ability to inhibit the germination of *P. expansum* spores could not be established by Spearman's correlation analysis (p=0.0900; p=0.1480).

Many authors have reported that competition for space and nutrients is the main mechanism by which yeasts repress the development of pathogens. In our study, yeasts growth in fruit wounds stored at 0-1 °C was evaluated after 60 days in the case of apples and 45 days in the case of pears (Table S2, Supplementary material). All yeasts were able to grow in fruit wounds reaching populations between $0,4 \times 10^6$ and $5,2 \times 10^6$ cells per wound. Similar but significantly different colonization abilities were observed among the strains. In all cases the ability to grow in apple wounds was the same or greater than that in pears (Table S2).

Figure 3. Inhibition of *P. expansum* spores germination (%) in presence of *D. hansenii* strains. Evaluation after 10 days of incubation at 0-1 °C. Treatments with the same letter are not significantly different (p=0.05). Error bars represent sample confidence interval (p=0.05)





Strain F9D, which was selected as the most effective antagonist in apples and pears, was not the strain with the highest colonization ability. These results led to the conclusion that other mechanisms should be involved in its biocontrol potential.

The same result was reported when studying the biocontrol potential of different Aureobasidium pullulans strains⁽²⁹⁾. In that case, the authors hypothesized that the ability to produce chitinase could be related with a higher biocontrol activity. In case of strain F9D, any of the mechanisms studied in this work seems to be the basis of its biocontrol activity in apple and pear wounds. F9D did not exhibit any of the properties related to those mechanisms of action, except for the inhibition of spore germination, which was $34.2 \pm 4.3\%$. Further studies are needed to try to explain its outstanding performance in protecting fruit wounds against blue mold rot during cold storage. Its activity could be based on a combination of various mechanisms which are not easily expressed in nutrient media, such as induction of host resistance. This mechanism should be considered, since yeast antagonists have been reported as elicitors in the interactions with fruit hosts⁽⁵¹⁾. However, such studies are complex, because induction of resistance depends on a sequence of events such as the production of microbial signaling compounds leading to metabolic events in the host, resulting in the induction or priming of different defense mechanisms⁽¹⁷⁾. The knowledge of the exact mode of action of biocontrol agents in a determined pathosystem would help to improve their action and to direct the search for new antagonists. However, most studies have concluded that biocontrol is the result of multiple mechanisms involving interactions of antagonists, pathogens, hosts, environment conditions and epiphytic microbiota. According to this, screening of new biocontrol agents should be based on bioassays on plants or plant tissues, in the same conditions in which biocontrol is expected to occur. Nevertheless, until now, many studies base the selection of antagonists on the verification of some of the mechanisms of action mentioned above, especially on the ability to produce soluble antifungal substances on nutrient media in laboratory conditions.

Our work has confirmed that the selection of a biocontrol agent should not be based on a specific mechanism of action. If our selection of antagonists had been based on their ability to produce antifungal compounds on culture media, the strain F9D would not have been found. Thus, screening processes to find biocontrol agents should be based on suitable bioassays in the corresponding pathosystem under the environmental conditions where the interaction will occur. Alternative assays based on specific mechanisms of action based on in vitro tests should be avoided. An inappropriate screening process can lead to a laborious, expensive, and ineffective selection⁽³²⁾.

3.4 ISSR-PCR

To monitor the fate of a biocontrol agent after application, a strain specific molecular marker is a fundamental tool. It could also facilitate the registration process providing a profile which allows to differentiate the specific strain from others of the same species. In this work ISSR-PCR was evaluated as a method to type *D. hansenii* F9D, which resulted the selected biocontrol agent.

Three different primers were tested to determine differences among *D. hansenii* strains. An important genetic heterogeneity within the species was reported previously⁽⁵²⁾⁽⁵³⁾. However, the discriminative power of the ISSR-PCR method used in this work for strain typing was not high. Only when the primer (GACAG)₃ was used a fingerprinting pattern specific for strain F9D could be obtained (Fig. 4 on the next page).

4. Conclusions

Among 16 cold tolerant *D. hansenii* strains able to grow at low pH and high sugar concentration in apple and pear wounds, only one was selected for its ability to prevent blue mold on fruit during cold storage. The mechanisms by which the selected strain exerted *P. expansum* biocontrol on fruit could not be revealed by *in vitro* tests. Further studies are required. Screening methods to find new biocontrol agents to protect fruit wounds during postharvest storage should not be based on *in vitro* tests. Otherwise, good biocontrol agents such as *D. hansenii* F9D could be discarded.



Although deeper studies should be performed, this strain could be a good candidate for the development of a yeast-based formulation to protect both types of fruit.

Figure 4. ISSR-PCR profile obtained for 16 *D. han*senii strains using (CAG)₅ primer (A), (AGG)₅ primer (B) and (GACAG)₃ primer (C)



Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Commission for Scientific Research (*Comisión Sectorial de Investigación Científica*, CSIC-Uruguay), the Uruguayan Antarctic Institute (IAU), and PEDECIBA and CYTED.

Author contribution statement

All authors contributed equally to design the analysis, to discuss results and to write the paper. Arrarte carried out the experiments and collected the data. Vero conceived and directed the project.

References

1. Romano ML, Gullino ML, Garibaldi A. Evaluation of the sensitivity to several fungicides of postharvest pathogens in North-western Italy. Meded Fac Land- bouww Univ Gent. 1983;48:591-602.

 Serdani M, Kang J-C, Andersen B, Crous PW. Characterisation of Alternaria species-groups associated with core rot of apples in South Africa. Mycol Res [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2021 Nov 22];106(5):561-9. Doi: 10.1017/S0953756202005993.

 Kou LP, Gaskins VL, Luo YG, Jurick WM. First Report of Alternaria tenuissima Causing Postharvest Decay on Apple Fruit from Cold Storage in the United States. Plant Dis [Internet].
2014 [cited 2021 Nov 22];98(5):690. Doi: 10.1094/PDIS-07-13-0802-PDN.

4. Jurick WM, Kou LP, Gaskins VL, Luo YG. First Report of Alternaria alternata Causing Postharvest Decay on Apple Fruit During Cold Storage in Pennsylvania. Plant Dis [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2021 Nov 22];98(5):690. Doi: 10.1094/PDIS-08-13-0817-PDN.

5. Hernández-Montiel LG, Holguín-Peña RJ, Larralde-Corona CP, Zulueta-Rodríguez R, Rueda-Puente E, Moreno-Legorreta M. Effect of inoculum size of yeast *Debaryomyces hansenii* to control *Penicillium italicum* on Mexican lime (*Citrus aurantiifolia*) during storage. CyTA - J Food [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2021 Nov 22];10(3):235-42. Doi: 10.1080/19476337.2011.633350.

6. Droby S, Cohen A, Weiss B, Horev B, Chalutz E, Katz H, Keren-Tzur M, Shachnai A. Commercial testing of aspire: a yeast preparation for the biological control of postharvest decay of citrus. Biol Control. 1998;12:97-100.



7. Arrarte E, Garmendia G, Rossini C, Wisniewski M, Vero S. Volatile organic compounds produced by Antarctic strains of Candida sake play a role in the control of postharvest pathogens of apples. Biol Control [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Nov 22];109:14-20. Doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2017.03.002.

 Wisniewski M, Biles C, Droby S, McLaughlin R, Wilson C, Chalutz E. Mode of action of the postharvest biocontrol yeast, Pichia guilliermondii:
I. Characterization of attachment to Botrytis cinerea. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol [Internet]. 1991 [cited 2021 Nov 22];39:245-58. Doi: 10.1016/0885-5765(91)90033-E.

9. Vero S, Mondino P, Burgueño J, Soubes M, Wisniewski M. Characterization of biocontrol activity of two yeast strains from Uruguay against blue mold of apple. Postharvest Biol Technol. 2002;26:91-8.

10. Droby S, Vinokur V, Weiss B, Cohen L, Daus A, Goldschmidt EE, Porat R. Induction of resistance to Penicillium digitatum in grapefruit by the yeast biocontrol agent Candida oleophila. Phytopathology. 2002;92(4):393-9.

11. Huang R, Li GQ, Zhang J, Yang L, Che HJ, Jiang DH, Huang HC. Control of postharvest botrytis fruit rot of strawberry by volatile organic compounds of Candida intermedia. Phytopathology. 2011;101(7):859-69.

12. Spadaro D, Droby S. Development of biocontrol products for postharvest diseases of fruit: the importance of elucidating the mechanisms of action of yeast antagonists. Trends Food Sci Technol [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2021 Nov 22];47:39-49. Doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2015.11.003.

13. Mohamed H, Saad A. The biocontrol of postharvest disease (*Botryodiplodia theobromae*) of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) by the application of yeast strains. Postharvest Biol Technol [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2021 Nov 22];53(3):123-30. Doi: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2009.04.001.

14. Tongsri V, Sangchote S. Yeast metabolites inhibit banana anthracnose fungus Colletotrichum musae. Asian J Food Agro Ind. 2009;2:807-16.

15. Liu J, Sui Y, Wisniewski M, Xie Z, Liu Y, You Y, Zhang X, Sun Z, Li W, Li Y, Wang Q. The impact of the postharvest environment on the viability and virulence of decay fungi. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr [Internet]. 2018 [2021 Nov 22];58(10):1681-7. Doi: 10.1080/10408398.2017.1279122.

16. Romanazzi G, Smilanick JL, Feliziani E, Droby S. Integrated management of postharvest gray mold on fruit crops. Postharvest Biol Technol [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2021 Nov 22];113:69-76. Doi: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2015.11.003.

17. Köhl J, Kolnaar R, Ravensberg WJ. Mode of action of microbial biological control agents against plant diseases: relevance beyond efficacy. Front Plant Sci [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2021 Nov 22];10:845. Doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00845.

18. Cabrera M, Garmendia G, Rufo C, Pereyra S, Vero S. Trichoderma atroviride como controlador biológico de fusariosis de espiga de trigo mediante la reducción del inóculo primario en rastrojo. Terra Latinoamericana [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2021 Nov 22];38(3):629-51. Doi: 10.28940/terra.v38i3.664.

19. Martinez A, Cavello I, Garmendia G, Rufo C, Cavalitto S, Vero S. Yeasts from sub-Antarctic region: biodiversity, enzymatic activities and their potential as oleaginous microorganisms. Extremophiles. 2016;20(5):759-69.

20. Czarnecka M, Żarowska B, Połomska X, Restuccia C, Cirvilleri G. Role of biocontrol yeasts Debaryomyces hansenii and Wickerhamomyces anomalus in plants' defence mechanisms against Monilinia fructicola in apple fruits. Food Microbiol [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2021 Nov 22];83:1-8. Doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2019.04.004.

21. Ming X, Wang Y, Sui Y. Pretreatment of the Antagonistic Yeast, Debaryomyces hansenii, With Mannitol and Sorbitol Improves Stress Tolerance and Biocontrol Efficacy. Front Microbiol [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2021 Nov 22];11. Doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00601.

22. Droby S, Chalutz E, Wilson CL, Wisniewski ME. Characterization of the biocontrol activity of Debaryomyces hansenii in the control of Penicillium digitatum on grapefruit. Can J Microbiol. 1989;35:794-800.



23. Hernandez-Montiel LG, Holguín-Peña RJ, López-Aburto MG, Troyo-Diéguez E. Control poscosecha de Geotrichum citri-aurantii en limón mexicano (Citrus aurantifolia [Christm.] Swingle) mediante levaduras marinas y epífitas. Universidad y ciencia. 2011;27(2):191-8.

24. Hernández-Montiel LG, Ochoa JL, Troyo-Diéguez E, Larralde-Corona CP. Biocontrol of postharvest blue mold (Penicillium italicum Wehmer) on Mexican lime by marine and citrus Debaryomyces hansenii isolates. Postharvest Biol Technol. 2010;56:181-7.

25. Chalutz E, Wilson C. Postharvest biocontrol of green and blue mold and sour rot of citrus fruit by Debaryomyces hansenii. Plant Dis. 1990;74:134-7.

26. Taqarort N, Echairi A, Chaussod R, Nouaim R, Boubaker H, Benaoumar AA, Boudyach E. Screening and identification of epiphytic yeasts with potential for biological control of green mold of citrus fruits. World J Microbiol Biotechnol [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2021 Nov 22];24(12):3031-8. Doi: 10.1007/s11274-008-9849-5.

27. Hernandez-Montiel LG, Gutierrez-Perez ED, Murillo-Amador B, Vero S, Chiquito-Contreras RG, Rincon-Enriquez G. Mechanisms employed by Debaryomyces hansenii in biological control of anthracnose disease on papaya fruit. Postharvest Biol Technol [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2021 Nov 22];139:31-7. Doi: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2018.01.015.

28. Pianzzola MJ, Moscatelli M, Vero S. Characterization of Penicillium Isolates Associated with Blue Mold on Apple in Uruguay. Plant Dis [Internet]. 2004 [cited 2021 Nov 22];88(1):23-8. Doi: 10.1094/PDIS.2004.88.1.23.

29. Nava I. Demostración de la actividad quitina desacetilasa en Bacillus thuringiensis. México (DF): Instituo Politécnico Nacional; 2009. 67p.

30. Schwyn B, Neilands JB. Universal chemical assay for the detection and determination of siderophores. Anal Biochem [Internet]. 1987 [cited 2021 Nov 22];160(1):47-56. Doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(87)90612-9.

31. Vero S, Garmendia G, González MB, Bentancur O, Wisniewski M. Evaluation of yeasts obtained from Antarctic soil samples as biocontrol agents for the management of postharvest diseases of apple (Malus × domestica). FEMS Yeast Res. 2013;13:189-99.

32. Ruzicka F, Holá V, Votava M, Tejkalová R. Importance of Biofilm in Candida parapsolpsis and Evaluation of Its Susceptibility to Antifungal Agents by Colorimetric Method. Foia Microbiol. 2007;52(3):209-14.

33. Pedrido ME, de Oña P, Ramirez W, Leñini C, Goñi A, Grau R. Spo0A links de novo fatty acid synthesis to sporulation and biofilm development in Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol. 2013;87:348-67.

34. Schena L, Ippolito A, Zahavi T, Cohen L, Nigro F, Droby S. Genetic diversity and biocontrol activity of Aureobasidium pullulans isolates against postharvest rots. Postharvest Biol Technol [Internet]. 1999 [cited 2021 Nov 22];17(3):189-99. Doi: 10.1016/S0925-5214(99)00036-8.

35. Di Rienzo JA, Casanoves F, Balzarini MG, González L, Tablada M, Robledo CW. InfoStat [Internet]. Version 2015. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Facultad de Ciencias Agropecuarias; 2015 [cited 2021 Nov 22]. Available from: https://bit.ly/3dDvlyu.

36. Di Rienzo JA, Guzmán AW, Casanoves F. A multiple-comparisons method based on the distribution of the root node distance of a binary tree. J Agric Biol Environ Stat. 2002;7(2):129-42.

37. Di Francesco A, Martini C, Mari M. Biological control of postharvest diseases by microbial antagonists: how many mechanisms of action? Eur J Plant Pathol [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2021 Nov 22];145(4):711-7. Doi: 10.1007/s10658-016-0867-0.

38. Schena L, Nigro F, Pentimone I, Ligorio A, Ippolito A. Control of postharvest rots of sweet cherries and table grapes with endophytic isolates of Aureobasidium pullulans. Postharvest Biol Technol. 2003;30:209-20.

39. Lima G, Arru S, De Curtis F, Arras G. Influence of antagonist, host fruit and pathogen on the biological control of postharvest fungal diseases by yeast. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. 1999;23:223-9.



40. Mari M, Martini C, Spadoni A, Rouissi W, Bertolini P. Biocontrol of apple postharvest decay by Aureobasidium pullulans. Postharvest Biol Technol. 2012;(73):56-62.

41. Çorbacı C, Uçar FB. Purification, characterization and in vivo biocontrol efficiency of killer toxins from Debaryomyces hansenii strains. Int J Biol Macromol [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2021 Nov 22];119:1077-82. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.07.121.

42. Zajc J, Gostinčar C, Černoša A, Gunde-Cimerman N. Stress-Tolerant Yeasts: Opportunistic Pathogenicity Versus Biocontrol Potential. Genes (Basel) [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2021 Nov 22];10(1):42. Doi: 10.3390/genes10010042.

43. Breuer U, Harms H. Debaryomyces hansenii: an extremophilic yeast with biotechnological potential. Yeast [Internet]. 2006;23(6):415-37. Doi: 10.1002/yea.1374.

44. Hernández-Lauzardo AN, Bautista-Baños S, Velázquez-Del Valle MG, Méndez-Montealvo MG, Sánchez-Rivera MM, Bello-Pérez LA. Antifungal effects of chitosan with different molecular weights on in vitro development of Rhizopus stolonifer (Ehrenb.:Fr.) Vuill. Carbohydr Polym. 2008 [cited 2021 Nov 22];73(4):541-7. Doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.12.020.

45. Al-Qaysi SAS, Al-Haideri H, Thabit ZA, Al-Kubaisy WHAA-R, Ibrahim JAA-R. Production, Characterization, and Antimicrobial Activity of Mycocin Produced by Debaryomyces hansenii DSMZ70238. Int J Microbiol [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Nov 22];2017:2605382. Doi: 10.1155/2017/2605382.

46. Grzegorczyk M, Żarowska B, Restuccia C, Cirvilleri G. Postharvest biocontrol ability of killer yeasts against Monilinia fructigena and Monilinia fructicola on stone fruit. Food Microbiol [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Nov 22];61:93-101. Doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2016.09.005 47. Santos A, Marquina D, Barroso J, Peinado JM. (16)-beta-D-glucan as the cell wall binding site for Debaryomyces hansenii killer toxin. Lett Appl Microbiol [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2021 Nov 22];34(2):95-9. Doi: 10.1046/j.1472-765x.2002.01053.x.

48. Banjara N, Nickerson KW, Suhr MJ, Hallen-Adams HE. Killer toxin from several food-derived Debaryomyces hansenii strains effective against pathogenic Candida yeasts. Int J Food Microbiol [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2021 Nov 22];222:23-9. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.01.016.

49. Ebert B, Halbfeld CM, Blank L. Exploration and Exploitation of the Yeast Volatilome. Curr Metabolomics [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Nov 22];5(2). Doi: 10.2174/2213235X04666160818151119.

50. Barkai-Golan R. Postharvest Diseases of Fruits and Vegetables: Development and Control. Amasterdam: Elsevier Sciences; 2001. 417p.

51. Zhang X, Li B, Zhang Z, Chen Y, Tian S. Antagonistic Yeasts: A Promising Alternative to Chemical Fungicides for Controlling Postharvest Decay of Fruit. J Fungi [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2021 Nov 22];6(3):158. Doi: 10.3390/jof6030158.

52. Petersen KM, Jespersen L. Genetic diversity of the species Debaryomyces hansenii and the use of chromosome polymorphism for typing of strains isolated from surface-ripened cheeses. J Appl Microbiol [Internet]. 2004 [cited 2021 Nov 22];97(1):205-13. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02293.x.

53. Padilla B, Manzanares P, Belloch C. Yeast species and genetic heterogeneity within Debaryomyces hansenii along the ripening process of traditional ewes' and goats' cheeses. Food Microbiol [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2021 Nov 22];38:160-6. Doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2013.09.002.



Supplementary material

Table S1. Yeasts growth rate in the exponential phase (μ) and cell concentration in the stationary phase (N) in apple and pear juice incubated at 0-1 °C. Values in the same column with the same letter are not significantly different (p=0.05)

Stroin Apple Juice		ole Juice	Pear Juice	
Slidili	μ×10 ³ (h ⁻¹)	N×10 ⁻⁷ (cell mL ⁻¹)	μ×10 ³ (h ⁻¹)	N×10 ⁻⁷ (cell mL ⁻¹)
29BE	6.5 ± 1.3 fghi	3.4 ± 0.9 cde	6.5 ± 1.1 cdef	2.2 ± 0.1 defg
35E	9.3 ± 1.5 cd	1.4 ± 0.6 cde	6.0 ± 1.2 cdef	1.1 ± 0.5 fg
F7A	9.0 ± 1.3 cde	1.3 ± 0.3 cde	8.8 ± 0.6 b	3.5 ± 0.8 a
F7D	9.8 ± 0.9 bc	2.3 ± 1.0 cde	8.9 ± 0.8 b	2.0 ± 0.3 cd
F9C	11.8 ± 0.3 a	11.2 ± 6.6 a	5.8 ± 1.6 cdef	1.5 ± 0.2 defg
F9D	6.4 ± 0.3 ghi	0.5 ± 0.1 e	8.7 ± 0.6 b	2.7 ± 0.8 bc
F9E	11.7 ± 0.6 a	8.5 ± 2.0 b	10.5 ± 0.8 a	$3.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ ab}$
F9F	7.5 ± 0.9 efgh	$4.0 \pm 1.4 \text{ cd}$	5.4 ± 0.8 def	1.2 ± 0.1 efg
F9H	5.4 ± 1.0 i	2.3 ± 1.5 cde	7.0 ± 0.3 c	0.9 ± 0.1 g
F13D	11.1 ± 1.3 ab	2.3 ± 0.4 cde	6.9 ± 0.6 cd	2.9 ± 0.7 ab
F18A	9.5 ± 0.5 bcd	2.5 ± 1.3 cde	5.7 ± 0.5 cdef	0.9 ± 0.1 fg
F18B	8.1 ± 0.5 def	2.7 ± 0.4 cde	5.6 ± 1.0 cdef	1.7 ± 0.6 def
F32A	5.7 ± 0.1 i	4.2 ± 2.0 c	6.8 ± 1.3 cde	1.6 ± 0.4 defg
F32B	6.0 ± 0.8 hi	1.0 ± 0.3 de	6.1 ± 0.4 cdef	2.6 ± 0.5 bc
F32C	10.3 ± 0.7 abc	2.0 ± 0.2 cde	5.4 ±1.1 ef	2.0 ± 0.2 cde
F32D	8.0 ± 0.9	0.8 ± 0.1 e	5.0 ±0.6 f	2.6 ± 0.2 bc



	Apple Pear		
Strain	Cell per wound $\times 10^6$	Cell per wound $\times 10^6$	
29BE	5.2 ± 1.1 efg	1.3 ± 0.2 cde	
35E	1.8 ± 1.0 ab	0.5 ± 0.2 ab	
F7A	6.2 ± 0.6 fg	2.3 ± 0.2 g	
F7D	2.1 ± 0.4 abcd	0.9 ± 0.1 abc	
F9C	3.8 ± 1.8 cde	1.8 ± 0.5 fg	
F9D	2.1 ± 0.6 abcd	1.8 ± 0.2 efg	
F9E	5.1 ± 0.9 efg	2.1 ± 0.3 g	
F9F	2.3 ± 0.8 abcd	1.3 ± 0.5 cdef	
F9H	6.8 ± 1.4 g	1.7 ± 0.1 defg	
F13D	1.2 ± 0.1 a	1.0 ± 0.2 bc	
F18A	3.9 ± 0.6 de	1.2 ± 0.6 cd	
F18B	3.6 ± 2.9 bcde	1.0 ± 0.5 bc	
F32A	1.5 ± 0.4 a	1.9 ± 0.6 fg	
F32B	1.9 ± 0.8 abc	0.5 ± 0.2 ab	
F32C	0.7 ± 0.2 a	0.4 ± 0.1 a	
F32D	4.8 ± 1.5 ef	1.4 ± 0.3 cdef	

Table S2. Yeasts growth in apple and pear wounds after 60 and 45 days of incubation at 0-1 °C, respectively.Values in the same column with the same letter are not significantly different (p=0.05)